

Deconstructing the Deconstruction Theory

Rashed Popalzai

January 16, 2014

Abstract

There have always been debates and discussions about the true purpose and reason behind our existence. The need for a purpose of life is what drives most of us in our lives. Be it money, wealth, family, power or God, people have always set ultimate goals for their lives to keep themselves on one track and not feel lost. On the other hand some have also opposed the need for purpose of life. Some have argued that it is a constructive idea, the Truth that doesn't really exist but is rather created. Of such theories is a Deconstructive theory.

The Deconstruction theory encourages people to re-evaluate their view and their thoughts. It demands that views always have the other side and that we as thinking individuals must always deconstruct our views and the views around us in order to see the bigger picture. However it doesn't stop there, the theory goes on suggesting that there is no Reality or Truth governing our existence and that the good and bad are constructed by our society that changes time to time. If we deconstruct this idea and look into it, we will find that the idea may well apply to certain areas, but it does fail in explaining certain issues such as, if there is no objective morality and no objective right and wrong, then everything that an individual does is a construction of his experiences. How would we then judge right and wrong, how would we judge criminal acts and why should we judge anything at the first place?

The theory might suggest that it is the society that constructs and defines morality. Does this mean that everything that a society chooses to be good is really good? If so, why do we blame our old generations and societies for treating women as a medium of sexual pleasure? After all it was fine for that society, was it not? Who gives us the authority to blame white Americans who enslaved black Americans for years? Was it a right act, if not, why not? After all it was considered a right thing to do for that society in that time. If we totally agreed to the theory, then we have no right to criticize our society for treating women as a marketing product, since it is totally fine for our society for a woman to pose in a bikini beside a car in order to attract men's attention and finally sell more cars.

Point being, if there is no objective right and wrong, we have no right to judge people. If we agree to the theory that morality is constructive and has no objective source, then why are we constantly questioning others' morality? Are we even allowed to question others' actions? This is where religion plays an important role. According to Religion, God is the Truth

and that God should be the only one defining right and wrong. The concept is easy; as the creator of a machine knows the best about the machines, God being our creator knows the best about us. He has an objective view on us. Our ideas and views are eluded by our environment, society, media and many other mediums. We no longer have control over what we think. This is why we need an objective judge who should judge between us and show us the right path and right theory of life. Just as every machine needs an instruction manual in order to function properly, we humans, being the most sophisticated machine on earth, also need an instruction manual in order to function correctly. For believers, God has always sent instruction manuals to humanity and showed them the truth of their existence. It is for us to do our research and choose for us the true religion in order to find the Truth.