

1 Maths

10pt

Problem 1.1 (Interval Intersections)

You are given a set of N open intervals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_N , with the property that:

$$\forall i, j. I_i \cap I_j \neq \emptyset$$

Prove by **induction** that:

$$\forall N \geq 2. I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_N \neq \emptyset$$

Solution:

Proof: We will prove by induction after N the hypothesis. Note that N is the number of intervals we are dealing with, irrespective to the intervals themselves.

P.1 Base case: For $N = 2$, it is obvious

P.2 Another base case: Consider that we have three intervals ($N = 3$): $I_1 := (a, b)$, $I_2 := (c, d)$, and $I_3 := (e, f)$, and suppose without loss of generality that $a \leq c \leq e$. Because of the hypothesis, we also have $e < b$ and $e < d$ (otherwise some intersections would be empty). It follows that at least the number $\frac{e + \min(d, b)}{2}$ is common to all the intervals.

P.3 Step case: We know that the hypothesis holds for N intervals, we want to prove it for $N + 1$ intervals. Let us consider intervals I_1, \dots, I_{N+1} and define

$$J_k := I_k \cap I_{N+1}$$

From the hypothesis of the problem, we know that any two intervals have a common element, thus

$$\forall k. J_k \neq \emptyset$$

We also know that

$$J_{k_1} \cap J_{k_2} \equiv I_{k_1} \cap I_{k_2} \cap I_{N+1}$$

However, we know from the previous step that any three intervals with the property from the problem have are all together not disjoint, therefore:

$$\forall k_1, k_2. J_{k_1} \cap J_{k_2} \neq \emptyset$$

Therefore, all the N intervals $J_1 \dots J_N$ have the property from the statement, and thus from the inductive hypothesis we have that

$$J_1 \cap J_2 \cap \dots \cap J_N \neq \emptyset$$

which can be rewritten as

$$I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_N \cap I_{N+1} \neq \emptyset$$

□

2 Abstract Data Types

10pt

Problem 2.1 (ADT for UNN and prime numbers)

Design an ADT for unary natural numbers. Write a procedure that checks whether a number is prime.

Solution: The ADT is: $\langle \{\mathbb{N}\}, \{[o: \mathbb{N}], [s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}]\} \rangle$

The *cmp* procedure compares two unary natural numbers, the *o* procedure represents bigger or equal, *s(o)* represents smaller:

$\langle \text{cmp}::\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; \{ \text{cmp}(x, o) \rightsquigarrow o, \text{cmp}(o, x) \rightsquigarrow s(o), \text{cmp}(s(x), s(y)) \rightsquigarrow \text{cmp}(x, y) \} \rangle$

$\langle \text{sub}::\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; \{ \text{sub}(o, x) \rightsquigarrow o, \text{sub}(x, o) \rightsquigarrow x, \text{sub}(s(x), s(y)) \rightsquigarrow \text{sub}(x, y) \} \rangle$

$\langle \text{if}::\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; \{ \text{if}(o, x, y) \rightsquigarrow y, \text{if}(s(o), x, y) \rightsquigarrow x \} \rangle$

The *isDiv* procedure checks whether a number is divisible by another:

$\langle \text{isDiv}::\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; \{ \text{isDiv}(o, x) \rightsquigarrow s(o), \text{isDiv}(s(x), y) \rightsquigarrow \text{if}(\text{cmp}(s(x), y), o, \text{isDiv}(\text{sub}(s(x), y), y)) \} \rangle$

The next procedure iterates over possible divisors:

$\langle \text{check}::\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; \{ \text{check}(x, k) \rightsquigarrow \text{if}(\text{cmp}(k, x), \text{if}(\text{isDiv}(x, k), o, \text{check}(x, s(k))), s(o)) \} \rangle$

The last procedure checks whether a number is prime:

$\langle \text{isPrime}::\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; \{ \text{isPrime}(s(o)) \rightsquigarrow o, \text{isPrime}(x) \rightsquigarrow \text{check}(x, s(o)) \} \rangle$

3 Standard ML

20pt

Problem 3.1 (Game)

Four players A,B,C,D are playing the following game: They have a number of red and green stones and one blue stone arranged in a circle. (We will represent the circle by a list). The players perform the following actions in turn:

Player A replaces the first red stone after the blue stone by a green stone.

For example: $[\# 'r', \# 'r', \# 'b', \# 'g', \# 'r', \# 'r']$
would become $[\# 'r', \# 'r', \# 'b', \# 'g', \# 'g', \# 'r']$

Player B shifts the blue stone to the clockwise (to the right) by 3 replacing all the red stones he finds by green stones, if he reaches the end of the “list” he starts at the beginning:

For example: $[\# 'r', \# 'r', \# 'b', \# 'g', \# 'r']$
would become $[\# 'b', \# 'r', \# 'g', \# 'g', \# 'g']$

Player C changes the stone after the blue stone to a green stone:

For example: $[\# 'r', \# 'r', \# 'b', \# 'g', \# 'r']$
would become $[\# 'r', \# 'r', \# 'b', \# 'g', \# 'r']$

$[\# 'r', \# 'r', \# 'b', \# 'r', \# 'r']$
would become $[\# 'r', \# 'r', \# 'b', \# 'g', \# 'r']$

Player D shifts the blue stone to the left (counter clockwise) by 1, and puts a green stone in it's original place:

For example: `['r','r','b','g','r']`
 would become `['r','b','g','g','r']`

The player who replaces the last red stone by a green stone wins.

Assuming player A starts first, and the players play in the order A,B,C,D, write a sml function that given the list with the arrangement of stones, determines which of the players will win, and how many moves player A makes. Don't forget to raise the appropriate exceptions.

Example and signature:

```
val game = fn : char list -> string * int
- game(['r','g','r','b','r','g']);
val it = ("A_wins",2) : string * int
```

Solution:

```
exception wrong_stone;

fun last_element ([]) = raise wrong_stone (*find the last element of the list *)
  | last_element ([a]) = ([],a) (*and the list without the last element*)
  | last_element(a::l) = let val (c,d) = last_element(l) in (a::c,d) end;

fun count([]) = true (*check if there are only blue and green stones*)
  | count(['r']::l) = false
  | count(['b']::l) = count(l)
  | count(['g']::l) = count(l)
  | count(r::l) = raise wrong_stone;

fun help_a(m,['r']::l,0) = help_a(m@['r'],l,0) (*move of player A*)
  | help_a(m,['g']::l,0) = help_a(m@['g'],l,0) (*find the blue stone*)
  | help_a(m,['b']::l,0) = help_a([],m@['b'],l) (*if it is at the end go to the beginning*)
  | help_a(m,['b']::l,0) = help_a(m@['b'],l,1) (*now we have found the blue stone*)
  | help_a(m,['g']::l,1) = help_a([],m@['g'],l) (*if the end of the list is reached*)
  | help_a(m,['g']::l,1) = help_a(m@['g'],l,1) (*search for a red stone*)
  | help_a(m,['r']::l,1) = m@['g']@l (*found it, return*)
  | help_a(m,[],0) = raise wrong_stone (*no blue stone*)
  | help_a(m,['b']::l,1) = raise wrong_stone (*two blue stones*)
  | help_a(m,y::l,x) = raise wrong_stone; (*some other stone*)

fun help_b(m,['g']::l,0) = raise wrong_stone(*move of player B*)
  | help_b(m,['r']::l,0) = raise wrong_stone (*no blue stone*)
  | help_b(m,['r']::l,0) = help_b(m@['r'],l,0) (*search for blue stone*)
  | help_b(m,['g']::l,0) = help_b(m@['g'],l,0) (*search for blue stone*)
  | help_b(m,['b']::l,0) = help_b([],m@['g'],l) (*if the blue stone is at the end of the list*)
  | help_b(m,['b']::l,0) = help_b(m@['g'],l,1) (*found blue stone*)
  | help_b(m,['g']::l,3) = m@['b']@l (*made 3 steps, so shift the blue stone, return*)
  | help_b(m,['r']::l,3) = m@['b']@l (*made 3 steps, so shift the blue stone, return*)
  | help_b(m,['r']::l,x) = help_b([],m@['g'],x+1) (*end of list, return to the beginning of list*)
  | help_b(m,['g']::l,x) = help_b([],m@['g'],x+1) (*end of list, return to the beginning of list*)
  | help_b(m,['g']::l,x) = help_b(m@['g'],l,x+1) (*go along the list, changing the stones*)
  | help_b(m,['r']::l,x) = help_b(m@['g'],l,x+1) (*go along the list, changing the stones*)
  | help_b(m,a::l,x) = raise wrong_stone; (*some other stone*)

fun help_c(m,['r']::l,0) = help_c(m@['r'],l,0) (*move of player C*)
```

```

| help_c(m,("#g"):::1,0) = help_c(m@[#"g"],1,0) (*search for the blue stone*)
| help_c(m,[],0) = raise wrong_stone (*no blue stone*)
| help_c(m,[#"b"],0) = help_c([],m@[#"b"],1) (*blue stone last in the list, so return to player D*)
| help_c(m,("#b"):::1,0) = help_c(m@[#"b"],1,1) (*found blue stone*)
| help_c(m,("#g"):::1,1) = m@[#"g"]@1 (*replace the stone after the blue stone*)
| help_c(m,("#r"):::1,1) = m@[#"g"]@1 (*replace the stone after the blue stone*)
| help_c(m,("#b"):::1,1) = raise wrong_stone (*two blue stones*)
| help_c(m,y:::1,x) = raise wrong_stone; (*some other stone*)

fun help_d(m,("#r"):::1) = help_d(m@[#"r"],1) (*move of player D*)
| help_d(m,("#g"):::1) = help_d(m@[#"g"],1) (*search for the blue stone*)
| help_d([],("#b"):::1) = let val (a,b) = last_element(1) in [#"g"]@a@[#"b"] end (*if the blue stone is last, return to player A*)
| help_d(m,("#b"):::1) = let val (a,b) = last_element(m) in a@[#"b"]@[#"g"]@1 end (*replace the stone after the blue stone*)
| help_d(m,[]) = raise wrong_stone (*no blue stone*)
| help_d(m,a:::1) = raise wrong_stone; (*some other stone*)

fun game_a(1,x) = let val c = help_a([],1,0) in if count(c) then ("A_wins", x+1) else game_b(c,x) (*play in turn*)
and game_b(1,x) = let val c = help_b([],1,0) in if count(c) then ("B_wins", x+1) else game_c(c,x)
and game_c(1,x) = let val c = help_c([],1,0) in if count(c) then ("C_wins", x+1) else game_d(c,x)
and game_d(1,x) = let val c = help_d([],1) in if count(c) then ("D_wins", x+1) else game_a(c,x)

fun game(1) = game_a(1,0); (*player A starts*)

```

10pt

Problem 3.2 (Sum decomposition)

Design an SML function that takes an integer $n > 0$ and returns all the possible ways in which n can be written as sum of strictly positive integers. Encode the result as a string.

Function signature and example:

```

val decompose = fn : int -> string list
- decompose 3;
val it = ["3","2_+1","1_+2","1_+1_+1"] : string list

```

How many decompositions exist for an n ? (Write your answer and a short argument at the end of the source file)

Solution:

```

Control.Print.printLength := 1000;

fun append x ll = map (fn ls => x :: ls) ll
fun addOne ll = map (fn (h :: t) => (1 + h) :: t) ll

fun decomposeInList 1 = [[1]]
  |decomposeInList n =
    let val ll = decomposeInList (n - 1)
        in addOne ll @ append 1 ll
    end

fun convertToString [h] = Int.toString h
  | convertToString (h :: t) = Int.toString h ^ "_+" ^ convertToString t

fun decompose n = map convertToString (decomposeInList n)

```

4 Formal Languages

10pt

Problem 4.1 (Formal Languages)

You are given the alphabet $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and a $L := \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_i$, where $L_0 = \{a\}$ and $L_{i+1} = \{xxb, xcy \mid x, y \in \bigcup_{k=0}^i L_k\}$.

1. Determine the cardinality of L_2 , **without** explicitly writing down the strings it contains.
2. For each of the strings below, determine whether it is in L . Explain why or why not!
 - $s_1 = accca$
 - $s_2 = acca$
 - $s_3 = acacaab$

Solution:

1. $L_1 = aab, aca$. Thus $\bigcup_{k=0}^1 L_k = a, aab, aca$ with cardinality 3.
When constructing L_2 , we will get 3 strings from xxb , since x is the same string.
In addition, we will get $3 \cdot 3 = 9$ strings from xcy , since x and y are different.
However, in this way we will get $acaca$ twice: from xcy with a and aca , and from aca and a . There are no other such symmetries, so there are no other repetitions.
So the cardinality of L_2 is $3 + 9 - 1 = 11$.
2. First we note that the number of characters in each string is always an odd number.
 - s_1 is not in L , because the construction rules do not allow two consecutive occurrences of the character c .
 - s_2 is not in L , because there cannot be strings with an even number of characters.
 - s_3 is in L : starting from the empty string, we get a , from there we get aca via xcy and aab via xxb , and then we get $acacaab$ via xcy .

Problem 4.2 (Code definitions)

7pt

Define the following concepts and give an example of each:

1. Character code.
2. String code.
3. Prefix code.

Why are prefix codes also string codes?

Solution:

1. Let A and B be alphabets, then we call an injective function c from A to B^+ a character code

2. Let c' be a function from A^* to B^* if it is injective then it induces a string code
3. A (character) code $c : A \rightarrow B^+$ is a prefix code if none of the codewords is a proper prefix to another codeword

Proof of a prefix code being a string code in slide 130.

Problem 4.3 (Formal Languages and Concatenation and Intersection)

7pt

Given the alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ and 3 formal languages in A $L_1 = \{a^{[n]} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $L_2 = \{ba^{[n]} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $L_3 = \{b^{[k]}a^{[2n]} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

1. What is $L_1 \cap L_3$?
2. Write down three words that belong in $L_4 = \text{conc}(L_2, L_1)$.

Solution:

1. $\{a^{[2n]} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
 2. baa, b, baaa
-

5 Boolean Expressions

7pt

Problem 5.1 (Practising Quine McCluskey)

Use the algorithm of Quine-McCluskey to determine the minimal polynomial of the following function:

x_1	x_2	x_3	f
F	F	F	F
F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F
T	F	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	T	T	T

Solution:

$QMC_1 :$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_0 &= \{\overline{x_1} \overline{x_2} \overline{x_3}, x_1 \overline{x_2} \overline{x_3}, x_1 \overline{x_2} x_3, x_1 x_2 x_3\} \\
 M_1 &= \{x_1 \overline{x_2}, x_1 x_3\} \\
 P_1 &= \{\overline{x_1} \overline{x_2} \overline{x_3}\} \\
 M_2 &= \emptyset \\
 P_2 &= \{x_1 \overline{x_2}, x_1 x_3\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$QMC_2 :$

	FTF	TFF	TFT	TTT
$x_1 \overline{x_2}$	F	T	T	F
$x_1 x_3$	F	F	T	T
$\overline{x_1} \overline{x_2} \overline{x_3}$	T	F	F	F

Final result: 1. $f = x_1 \bar{x}_2 + x_1 x_3 + \bar{x}_1 x_2 \bar{x}_3$

7pt

Problem 5.2 (Model for Boolean Expressions)

Give a variable assignment φ for which all the following expressions evaluate to true.

1. $e_1 := x_1 * \bar{x}_2 + \overline{x_2 + x_3} * \overline{x_1 + \bar{x}_3}$
2. $e_2 := \bar{x}_1 * (x_2 * \bar{x}_3) + x_1 * (\bar{x}_2 * x_3)$
3. $e_3 := (x_1 + x_2) * (x_2 + x_3)$

Show your reasoning using truth tables.

Solution:

$\varphi = \{[T/x_1], [F/x_2], [T/x_3]\}$

We have the truth tables

x_1	x_2	x_3	$x_1 + x_2$	$x_2 + x_3$	e_3	$\bar{x}_1 * (x_2 * \bar{x}_3)$	$x_1 * (\bar{x}_2 * x_3)$	e_2
T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F	F
T	T	F	T	T	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

From this table we find two possible assignments (the rows for which both e_2 and e_3 are true):
 $\varphi_1 = \{[T/x_1], [F/x_2], [T/x_3]\}$ and $\varphi_2 = \{[F/x_1], [T/x_2], [F/x_3]\}$.

Evaluating e_1 under φ_1 and φ_2 reveals that φ_1 is in fact the only solution. The truth table for e_1 is omitted.

6 Propositional Logic

7pt

Problem 6.1 (Hilbert calculus)

Prove the following theorem of Hilbert Calculus (using Hilbert Calculus rules only!!! - and make sure you specify the rules used on the way)

$$(S \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow R$$

Solution:

P.1 $(S \Rightarrow R \Rightarrow (S \Rightarrow R)) \Rightarrow (S \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow R$
 (**S** with $[S/P], [R/Q], [S \Rightarrow R/R]$)

P.2 $R \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow R$ (**K** with $[R/P], [S/Q]$)

P.3 $(R \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow (R \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow R)$ (**K** with $[R \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow R/P]$, $[S/Q]$)

P.4 $S \Rightarrow R \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow R$ (**MP** on **P.3** and **P.2**)

P.5 $(S \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow R$ (**MP** on **P.1** and **P.4**)

Problem 6.2 (Natural deduction)

10pt

Prove the following theorem of Natural Deduction (using ND Calculus rules only!!!
- give their short abbreviation too when applying them)

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow (\neg P \vee Q)$$

Solution:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[P \Rightarrow Q]^1}{\neg P \vee P} TND \quad \frac{[\neg P]^2}{\neg P \vee Q} \vee I_l \quad \frac{\frac{P \Rightarrow Q \quad P}{Q} \Rightarrow E}{\neg P \vee Q} \vee I_r}{\neg P \vee Q} \vee E^2}{(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow (\neg P \vee Q)} \Rightarrow I^1$$
