# Assignment8 - Calculi for Propositional Logic

## **Problem 8.1 (FOL-Signatures)**

- 1. Model the following situation as a FOL signature. (FOL and PLNQ signatures are the same.)
  - We have constants (= nullary functions) called zero and one.
  - We have a binary function called plus.
  - We have a unary function called minus.
  - We have a binary predicate called less.
- 2. Now consider the signature given by

• 
$$\Sigma_0^J = \{a, b\}$$

• 
$$\Sigma_1^f = \{f, g\}$$

• 
$$\Sigma_2^f = \{h\}$$

• 
$$\Sigma_0^p = \{p\}$$

• 
$$\Sigma_1^p = \{q\}$$

- $\Sigma_2^p = \{r\}$
- all other sets empty
- 3. Give a term over this signature that uses all function symbols

Solution:  $\Sigma_0^f = \{ \text{zero, one} \}, \Sigma_1^f = \{ \text{minus} \}, \Sigma_2^f = \{ \text{plus} \}, \Sigma_2^p = \{ \text{less} \}$ , and all other sets are empty

4. Give a formula over this signature that uses all function and predicate symbols

Solution: E.g., t = h(f(a), g(b)) for the term  $r(t \land t) \land q(t) \land p$  for the formula

#### Problem 8.2 (Natural Deduction)

Prove the following formula using the propositional Natural Deduction calculus.

$$(A \lor B) \land (A \Rightarrow C) \land (B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow C$$

(1)	1	$(A \lor B) \land (A \Rightarrow C) \land (B \Rightarrow C)$	Assumption
(2)	1	$A \lor B$	$\mathcal{ND}_0 \wedge E_l \text{ (on 1)}$
(3)	1	$(A \Rightarrow C) \land (B \Rightarrow C)$	$\mathcal{ND}_0 \wedge E_r \text{ (on 1)}$
(4)	1	$A \Rightarrow C$	$\mathcal{ND}_0 \wedge E_l \text{ (on 3)}$
(5)	1	$B \Rightarrow C$	$\mathcal{ND}_0 \wedge E_r \text{ (on 3)}$
(6)	1,6	Α	Assumption
(7)	1,6	C	$\mathcal{ND}_0 \Rightarrow E \text{ (on 4 and 6)}$
(8)	1,8	В	Assumption
(9)	1,8	C	$\mathcal{ND}_0 \Rightarrow E \text{ (on 5 and 8)}$
(10)	1	С	$\mathcal{ND}_0 \lor E \text{ (on 2, 7 and 9)}$
(11)		$(A \lor B) \land (A \Rightarrow C) \land (B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow C$	$\Rightarrow I (on 1 and 10)$
	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11)	$\begin{array}{c cccc} (1) & 1 \\ (2) & 1 \\ (3) & 1 \\ (4) & 1 \\ (5) & 1 \\ \hline (6) & 1,6 \\ (7) & 1,6 \\ \hline (8) & 1,8 \\ (9) & 1,8 \\ \hline (10) & 1 \\ (11) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

## Problem 8.3 (Proving in Tableau Calculus)

We use the *propositional variables P*, *Q*, and *R* and define *formulae A*, *B*, and *C* by

 $A = Q \land (Q \Rightarrow R)$  $B = P \Rightarrow A$  $C = P \Rightarrow R$ 

Prove the formula  $B \Rightarrow C$  using the propositional tableau calculus  $\mathcal{T}_0$ .

Solution:



 $\perp$  for closing is acceptable.

# Problem 8.4 (Logical Systems)

Fix a set *V* of propositional variables. We define a logical system  $(L, K, \models)$ . (Note: This logical system is different from the ones in the lecture and only used here as an exercise.)

- *L* is the powerset of *V*, i.e., a formula is a set of propositional variables.
- *K* is the set of functions  $V \to \{F, T\}$ .
- For  $A \in L$  and  $M \in K$ ,  $M \models A$  holds if M(p) = T for all  $p \in A$ .
- 1. Give examples of formulas that are
  - 1. satisfiable
  - 2. falsifiable
  - 3. unsatisfiable
  - 4. valid

Give a sound and complete calculus for this logical system.

Solution: Assume some  $p \in V$ .

- 1.  $\{p\}$  (satisfied if K(p) = T)
- 2.  $\{p\}$  (falsified if K(p) = F)
- 3. No such formula exists
- 4.  $\emptyset$  is the only valid formula
- 2. Consider the relation  $H \vdash A$  holding if  $A = \bigcup_{h \in H} h$ . Check if  $\vdash$  is a derivation relation.

*Solution:* It is not (unless  $|V| \le 1$ ). For example, put  $H = \{\{p\}, \{q\}\}$  and  $A = \{p\}$ . Then  $\bigcup_{h \in H} h = \{p, q\}$  and thus  $H \nvDash A$  even though  $A \in H$ . It becomes a derivation relation if we use  $\subseteq$  instead of = in the definition.