Algebraic Traces for Probability and Nondeterminism



Valeria Vignudelli

ENS DE LYON

Ana Sokolova

UNIVERSITY of SALZBURG

Joint work with



Filippo Bonchi

IN SUPREMÆ DIGNITATIS 1343

ENS DE LYON

Probabilistic Nondeterministic Labeled Transition Systems

$$t\colon X \to (\mathcal{PD}X)^A$$

Trace Semantics for these systems is usually defined by means of schedulers and resolutions



We take a different view: our semantics is based on automata theory, algebra and coalgebra

WARNING: In this talk, we will present our theory in its simplest possible form, hiding all category theory

Nondeterministic Automata

$$\langle o, t \rangle \colon X \to 2 \times (\mathcal{P}X)^A$$



$$X = \{x, y\} \qquad A = \{a, b\}$$

Language Semantics

NFA = LTS + output

$X \to 2 \times (\mathcal{P}X)^A$



$[\![\cdot]\!] : X \to 2^{A^*}$

$[\![x]\!] = (a \cup b)^* b = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w \text{ ends with a } b\}$

Determinisation for Nondeterministic Automata

$$\langle o, t \rangle \colon X \to 2 \times (\mathcal{P}X)^A \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \langle o^\sharp, t^\sharp \rangle \colon \mathcal{P}X \to 2 \times (\mathcal{P}X)^A$$



$$[\![\cdot]\!] \colon \mathcal{P}X \to 2^{A^*}$$

$$[\![S]\!](\varepsilon) = o^\sharp(S)$$
$$[\![S]\!](aw) = [\![t^\sharp(S)(a)]\!](w)$$



Probabilistic Automata

$$\langle o, t \rangle \colon X \to [0,1] \times (\mathcal{D}X)^A$$



$$X = \{x, y\} \qquad A = \{a, b\}$$

Probabilistic Language Semantics

Rabin PA = PTS + output

$X \to [0,1] \times (\mathcal{D}X)^A$



$[\![\cdot]\!] : X \to [0,1]^{A^*}$

$[\![x]\!] = \left(a \mapsto \frac{1}{2}, aa \mapsto \frac{3}{4}, \dots\right)$

Determinisation for Probabilistic Automata

$$\langle o, t\rangle \colon X \to [0,1] \times (\mathcal{D}X)^A \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \langle o^\sharp, t^\sharp\rangle \colon \mathcal{D}X \to [0,1] \times (\mathcal{D}X)^A$$





$$[\![\cdot]\!] \colon \mathcal{D}X \to [0,1]^{A^*}$$

$$[\![\Delta]\!](\varepsilon) = o^\sharp(\Delta)$$
$$[\![\Delta]\!](aw) = [\![t^\sharp(\Delta)(a)]\!](w)$$

Toward a GSOS semantics

In the determinisation of **nondeterministic** automata we use terms built of the following syntax

$$s, t \ ::= \ \star, \ s \oplus t, \ x \in X$$

to represent states in $\mathcal{P}X$

In the determinisation of **probabilistic** automata we use terms built of the following syntax

$$s, t \ ::= \ s +_p t, \ x \in X \quad \text{for all } p \in [0, 1]$$

to represent elements of $\mathcal{D}X$

GSOS Semantics for Nondeterministic Automata

$$\frac{-}{\star \xrightarrow{a} \star} \qquad \frac{s \xrightarrow{a} s' \quad t \xrightarrow{a} t'}{s \oplus t \xrightarrow{a} s' \oplus t'} \qquad \frac{-}{\star \downarrow_0} \qquad \frac{s \downarrow_{b_1} \quad t \downarrow_{b_2}}{s \oplus t \downarrow_{b_1 \sqcup b_2}}$$



GSOS Semantics for Probabilistic Automata

$$\frac{s \xrightarrow{a} s' \quad t \xrightarrow{a} t'}{s +_p t \xrightarrow{a} s' +_p t'} \qquad \frac{s \downarrow_{q_1} \quad t \downarrow_{q_2}}{s +_p t \downarrow_{p \cdot q_1 + (1-p) \cdot q_2}}$$



The Algebraic Theory of Semilattices with Bottom

$$s, t \ ::= \ \star, \ s \oplus t, \ x \in X$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x \oplus y) \oplus z & \overset{(A)}{=} & x \oplus (y \oplus z) \\ x \oplus y & \overset{(C)}{=} & y \oplus x \\ x \oplus x & \overset{(I)}{=} & x \\ x \oplus \star & \overset{(B)}{=} & x \end{array}$$

The set of terms quotiented by these axioms is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}X$

this theory is a presentation for the powerset monad

The Algebraic Theory of Convex Algebras

$$s, t \ ::= \ s +_p t, \ x \in X \quad \text{for all } p \in [0,1]$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
(x +_q y) +_p z & \overset{(A_p)}{=} & x +_{pq} (y +_{\frac{p(1-q)}{1-pq}} z) \\
x +_p y & \overset{(C_p)}{=} & y +_{1-p} x \\
x +_p x & \overset{(I_p)}{=} & x
\end{array}$$

The set of terms quotiented by these axioms is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}X$

this theory is a presentation for the distribution monad

Probabilistic Nondeterministic Language Semantics ?

NPA

$X \to ? \times (\mathcal{PD}X)^A$



$[\![x]\!] = ???$

$[\![\cdot]\!] : X \to ?^{A^*}$

Algebraic Theory for Subsets of Distributions ?

- For our approach it would be convenient to have a theory presenting subsets of distributions

- Monads can be composed by means of distributive laws, but, unfortunately, there is no distributive law between powerset and distributions (Daniele Varacca Ph.D thesis)

convexity is the key !

- Other general approach to compose monads/algebraic theories fail

- Our first step is to decompose the powerset monad...

Three Algebraic Theories

Nondeterminism $\oplus$

$$(x \oplus y) \oplus z \overset{(A)}{=} x \oplus (y \oplus z)$$

$$x \oplus y \overset{(C)}{=} y \oplus x$$

$$x \oplus x \overset{(I)}{=} x$$

Monad: $\mathcal{P}_{ne}$

Algebras: **Semilattices**

Probability $+_p$

$$(x +_q y) +_p z \overset{(A_p)}{=} x +_{pq} (y +_{\frac{p(1-q)}{1-pq}} z)$$

$$x +_p y \overset{(C_p)}{=} y +_{1-p} x$$

$$x +_p x \overset{(I_p)}{=} x$$

Monad: $\mathcal{D}$

Algebras: **Convex Algebras**

Termination $\star$

no axioms

Monad: $\cdot + 1$

Algebras: **Pointed Sets**

The Algebraic Theory of Convex Semilattices

$$\oplus \qquad +_p$$

$$(x \oplus y) \oplus z \overset{(A)}{=} x \oplus (y \oplus z) \qquad (x +_q y) +_p z \overset{(A_p)}{=} x +_{pq} (y +_{\frac{p(1-q)}{1-pq}} z)$$

$$x \oplus y \overset{(C)}{=} y \oplus x \qquad x +_p y \overset{(C_p)}{=} y +_{1-p} x$$

$$x \oplus x \overset{(I)}{=} x \qquad x +_p x \overset{(I_p)}{=} x$$

$$(x \oplus y) +_p z \overset{(D)}{=} (x +_p z) \oplus (y +_p z)$$

Monad C : non-empty convex subsets of distributions

---

convexity comes from the following derived law

$$s \oplus t \overset{(C)}{=} s \oplus t \oplus s +_p t$$

Adding Termination

$$\oplus \quad +_p \quad \star$$

$$(x \oplus y) \oplus z \overset{(A)}{=} x \oplus (y \oplus z) \qquad (x +_q y) +_p z \overset{(A_p)}{=} x +_{pq} (y +_{\frac{p(1-q)}{1-pq}} z)$$

$$x \oplus y \overset{(C)}{=} y \oplus x \qquad x +_p y \overset{(C_p)}{=} y +_{1-p} x$$

$$x \oplus x \overset{(I)}{=} x \qquad x +_p x \overset{(I_p)}{=} x$$

$$(x \oplus y) +_p z \overset{(D)}{=} (x +_p z) \oplus (y +_p z)$$

The Algebraic Theory of Pointed Convex Semilattices

$$x \oplus \star \overset{(B)}{=} x$$

The Algebraic Theory of Convex Semilattices with Bottom

$$x \oplus \star \overset{(T)}{=} \star$$

The Algebraic Theory of Convex Semilattices with Top

These three algebras are those freely generated by the singleton set 1

They give rise to three different semantics: may, must, and may-must

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}} = (\mathcal{I}, \text{min-max}, +_p^{\mathcal{I}}, [0,0])$$

$$\mathcal{I} = \{[x,y] \,|\, x,y \in [0,1] \text{ and } x \leq y\}$$

$$\text{min-max}([x_1,y_1],[x_2,y_2]) = [\min(x_1,x_2), \max(y_1,y_2)]$$

$$[x_1,y_1] +_p^{\mathcal{I}} [x_2,y_2] = [x_1 +_p x_2,\ y_1 +_p y_2]$$

The Theory of Pointed Convex Semilattices

$$\mathbb{M}\text{ax} = \quad ([0,1], \max, +_p, 0)$$

The Algebraic Theory of Convex Semilattices with bottom

$$\mathbb{M}\text{in} = ([0,1], \min, +_p, 0)$$

The Algebraic Theory of Convex Semilattices with Top

Syntax and Transitions

For the three semantics, we use the same syntax

$$s, t \ ::= \ \star, \ s \oplus t, \ s +_p t, \ x \in X \quad \text{for all } p \in [0,1]$$

and transitions

$$\frac{-}{\star \xrightarrow{a} \star} \qquad \frac{s \xrightarrow{a} s' \quad t \xrightarrow{a} t'}{s \oplus t \xrightarrow{a} s' \oplus t'} \qquad \frac{s \xrightarrow{a} s' \quad t \xrightarrow{a} t'}{s +_p t \xrightarrow{a} s' +_p t'}$$

but different output functions...

Example without outputs

$$x \xrightarrow{a} x_1 \oplus (x_3 +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_2)$$

$$x_1 \xrightarrow{b} x +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_3$$

$$x_2 \xrightarrow{b} x_3 \qquad x_2 \xrightarrow{c} x$$



$$x \xrightarrow{b,c} \star$$

$$x_1 \xrightarrow{a,c} \star$$

$$x_2 \xrightarrow{a} \star$$

$$x_3 \xrightarrow{a,b,c} \star$$

$$x \xrightarrow{a} x_1 \oplus (x_3 +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_2) \xrightarrow{b} (x +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_3) \oplus (\star +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_3)$$

Outputs for May

We take as algebra of outputs

$$\mathbb{M}\mathrm{ax} = \quad ([0,1], \max, +_p, 0)$$

that gives rise to the following three rules

$$\frac{-}{\star \downarrow_0} \qquad \frac{s \downarrow_{q_1} \qquad t \downarrow_{q_2}}{s \oplus t \downarrow_{\mathtt{max}(q_1,q_2)}} \qquad \frac{s \downarrow_{q_1} \qquad t \downarrow_{q_2}}{s +_p t \downarrow_{q_1 +_p q_2}}$$

Outputs for Must

We take as algebra of outputs

$$\mathbb{M}\mathrm{in} = ([0,1], \min, +_p, 0)$$

that gives rise to the following three rules

$$\frac{-}{\star \downarrow_0} \qquad \frac{s \downarrow_{q_1} \quad t \downarrow_{q_2}}{s \oplus t \downarrow_{\mathtt{min}(q_1,q_2)}} \qquad \frac{s \downarrow_{q_1} \quad t \downarrow_{q_2}}{s +_p t \downarrow_{q_1 +_p q_2}}$$

Outputs for May-Must

We take as algebra of outputs

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}} = (\mathcal{I}, \text{min-max}, +_p^{\mathcal{I}}, [0,0])$$

that gives rise to the following three rules

$$\frac{-}{\star \downarrow_{[0,0]}} \qquad \frac{s \downarrow_I \quad t \downarrow_J}{s \oplus t \downarrow_{\texttt{min-max}(I,J)}} \qquad \frac{s \downarrow_I \quad t \downarrow_J}{s +_p t \downarrow_{I +_p^{\mathcal{I}} J}}$$

Example with outputs

$$x \xrightarrow{a} x_1 \oplus (x_3 +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_2)$$

$$x_1 \xrightarrow{b} x +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_3$$

$$x_2 \xrightarrow{b} x_3 \qquad x_2 \xrightarrow{c} x$$



$$x \xrightarrow{b,c} \star$$

$$x_1 \xrightarrow{a,c} \star$$

$$x_2 \xrightarrow{a} \star$$

$$x_3 \xrightarrow{a,b,c} \star$$

All states output 1

$x \downarrow_1$ $x_1 \downarrow_1$ $x_2 \downarrow_1$ $x_3 \downarrow_1$

May $x \downarrow_1 \xrightarrow{a} x_1 \oplus (x_3 +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_2) \downarrow_1 \xrightarrow{b} (x +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_3) \oplus (\star +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_3) \downarrow_1$

Must $x \downarrow_1 \xrightarrow{a} x_1 \oplus (x_3 +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_2) \downarrow_1 \xrightarrow{b} (x +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_3) \oplus (\star +_{\frac{1}{2}} x_3) \downarrow_{\frac{1}{2}}$

Results follow:

- Traces carry a convex semilattice
- The three trace semantics are convex semilattice homomorphisms
- Trace equivalences are congruences w.r.t. convex semilattice operations
- Coinduction up-to these operation is sound

- Both probabilistic and convex bisimilarity implies the three trace equivalences

---

- The equivalences are "backward compatible" with standard trace equivalences for nondeterministic and probabilistic systems

---

- The may-equivalence coincides with one in Bernardo, De Nicola, Loreti TCS 2014

Thank You