

Improving knowledge through social interaction using argumentation

Resource: Semantic Wikis

Edit

Discussion

History

Issue Alice 2008-05-30 [Idea][Argument][Agree][Disagree][Decision]

It's hard to find out how to improve content (= resources) in [semantic wikis](#) ☹

Agree Bob 2008-05-31

Indeed, it's hard to get focused feedback from users.

Idea Claire 2008-06-01 [Argument][Agree][Disagree][Decision]

So let's make wiki discussions semantic!

Example Dave 2008-06-02 [Agree][Disagree]

Yes, we could reuse existing argumentation ontologies, like [DILIGENT](#).

Justification Eric 2008-06-03 [Agree][Disagree]

Excellent, that keeps discourses connected to the resources they are about.

Agree Anonymous 2008-06-04

That's great, old decisions would be documented.

Decision Christoph 2008-06-05

Let's do it! (Added to [IkeWiki](#), math-specific extensions and assistance in [SWiM](#))

Improving knowledge through social interaction using argumentation

Resource: SWiM

Edit

Discussion

History

Issue Christoph 2008-10-01 [Idea][Argument][Agree][Disagree][Decision]

Argumentation in practice is more diverse than our schema.

Agree James 2008-10-02

Yes, I need more flexibility in order to express my concerns.

Evaluation Christoph 2008-10-03 [Agree][Disagree]

A look at argumentations in e-mail discussions shows that there are posts like requests for explanations, arguments pointing to multiple resources, and other posts that "just don't fit".

Idea Christoph 2008-10-04 [Argument][Agree][Disagree][Decision]

Let's carefully extend the model.

Issue Michael 2008-10-26 [Idea][Argument][Agree][Disagree][Decision]

How are we going to pay Christoph's trip to Karlsruhe?

Idea Olga 2008-10-27 [Argument][Agree][Disagree][Decision]

JEM-Thematic-Network ECP-038208 ([Joining Educational Mathematics](#)) will pay for it, but please acknowledge us 😊